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Abstract
Hand gesture recognition for sign language interpretation is an active research currently going on. As a computer vision application, various of sensors/cameras with notable features are available for capturing live gesture. In this paper, real time hand gesture recognition has been done with Leap motion sensor. The main objective of this research is to do analysis of various derived (hand) features provided by leap motion with different classifier and select notable features with tested classifier for the further study. Indian sign language (ISL) dataset of alphabets and numbers are considered for performance analysis. Here, total 68 features for both hands (34 for each hand) are derived and tested with nearest neighbourhood, Logistic regression, Support Vector Machine (SVM) and nearest mean classifier. The fusion vector of 68 features are created and tested with different classifier to check the performance. Result shows that, SVM classifier giving better result of 96.19% for ISL alphabets and 100 % for ISL numbers with fusion vector. The detailed analysis shows that selection of hand orientation features instead of distance features are also a good choice for hand gesture recognition.
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I. INTRODUCTION
Indian Sign language (ISL) interpretation as a HCI application is a one of the noble, challenging and demanding application to help deaf community. Sign language (SL) varies from state accordingly to the spoken languages and is known by its country’s name such as American Sign Language (ASL) , British Sign Language (BSL), Indian sign language (ISL) and so on [1,2,5]. Due to technology advances in HCI and computer vision field, Deaf people can bring in the main stream of the society with computer interpreter instead of human interpreter [2]. In sign language, hand plays very important role for symbolic communication. Now days researchers find vision based approach is more suitable than data glove based approach due to its natural interface. Survey shows that, as compared to other sign language interpretation work, ISL work started late in India due to unavailability of standardized ISL [3, 4].

Despite substantial research in last 15-20 years, “Hand Gesture Recognition (HGR)” continues to be challenge. HGR with complete natural interface without any constraints in real-time is still quite demanding. With the development of sensor technology in the field of vision based gesture recognition, now researchers are more options for cameras.

This paper focuses on the use of Leap motion controller for Indian sign language interpretation. Initially, subset of ISL such as alphabets and numbers are considered for experimentation. Various features are derived with the help of sensory data and used for classification purpose. Various classifiers such as nearest neighbourhood, Logistic regression with PCA, SVM as well as nearest mean classifier are used for analysis purpose.

The organization of the paper is given below: Section 2 gives literature survey related hand gesture recognition using 3D cameras such as Kinect, Leap motion sensors. Section 3 presents dataset used for the proposed work. Methodology and detail algorithm is presented in section 4, Section 5 gives the experimentation results and its analysis. Conclusion and future work is presented in section 6 followed by references.

II. LITERATURE SURVEY
There are various 2D and 3D web cameras are available in the market for computer vision applications. There is a lot of work has been done on 2D web cameras with image processing algorithms. Due to challenges of vision based approach for capturing and processing hand gesture signs with normal 2D web cameras now researchers are focusing the 3D cameras such as Microsoft Kinect sensors [6] and Leap motion controller [8]. These advance sensor based controller devices help researchers to provide depth information and removes the hurdles of the challenges of real time capturing the data [2].

With the advancement of sensor technology in computer vision field, Microsoft Kinect sensor was
used to deal with dynamic hand gesture recognition problem [6].

Lionel et al. worked on 20 Italian gestures with Microsoft Kinect sensor. They achieved 91.7% accuracy using convolution neural network and GPU acceleration [7].

Leigh et al. [8] have given a study of leap motion controller with its advantages, drawbacks and challenges for the implementation of Australian sign language (Auslan).

Deepali Niglot and Milnd Kulkarni worked on Indian sign language numbers (10 signs for numbers) recognition using leap motion controller. They have used ANN and achieved 100% accuracy on 200 sample data set. The same authors in another paper tried to work on American Sign Language (only alphabets) using Leap Motion controller and achieved 96.15% accuracy using multi-level perceptron neural network with black propagation [9,10].

Rajesh B. Mapari and Govind Kharat worked on multiple sign language recognition using leap motion sensor and neural networks. They have considered 33 ISL signs with 3300 data samples. From each sample they have derived feature vector of 97 elements from positional values, distance values and angle values. For ISL recognition system they have achieved 97.34% accuracy with GFF NN (Generalized Feed Forward neural network) [11].

Makiko Funasaka et al. proposed the finger spelling recognition system consists of 24 alphabets using Leap motion controller and decision tree for ASL. They have experimented with 16 conditions that based on properties of hand and fingers for conditional branches. The experimentation done with genetic algorithm and obtained quasi-optimal solution with 82.71 % accuracy [12].

Midarto Dwi, Wibowo et al. worked on Indonesian sign language recognition with Leap motion Controller. They have used Naïve Bayesian classification algorithm for 24 letters and achieved 95% accuracy [13].

M. Mohandes et al. have measured the performance of Multilayer perception neural network with Naïve Bayes classifier for Arabic sign language consists of 28 letters. The proposed system have achieved 98% accuracy for Naïve Bayes and 99% accuracy for MLP NN[14].

Ching-Hua Chuan et al. worked on American Sign Language recognition using leap motion controller. They found that leap motion sensor device is much more affordable than Cyberglove and Kinect sensor. They have achieved 79.28% accuracy for SVM and 72.78 % using k-NN on ASL 26 alphabet on derived features of sensors [15].

Methodology of interpretation varies from camera to camera with its own advantages and limitation. There is a need to work on finding suitable vision based solution for camera selection, feature selection and classifier selection for better improvement and real time performance.

III. DATASET

In this paper, Indian sign language alphabets and numbers are considered for testing the algorithm [16]. Currently scope is limited to static signs for testing the performance of leap motion controller. In our earlier work, we have tested normal web camera [17] for static signs which include alphabets and numbers and Kinect sensor [6] for dynamic signs which includes word dataset. Currently total 620 samples are considered for training. For numbers 100 samples (10 for each number) and for alphabets 520 samples (20 for each alphabet) are considered.

IV. METHODOLOGY AND ALGORITHM

The system attempts to detect hand gestures by leap motion controller. Figure 1 shows proposed system architecture for gesture recognition. Sensory features are extracted from data generated by leap motion controller. The extracted features consist of palm centre, fingers 3D positions and hand orientation. The leap motion controller transforms raw sensor data into useful information which helps to recognize the gestures. The leap motion controller gives the results in series of frames which contains hand tracking data. Once the hand posture is given to the system, key frame get extracted (Frame extraction). From this extracted frame the system calculates palm centre of both hands, 3D position of joints of each fingers which is used to calculate total 24 derived distance features. It also extracts hand and finger orientation data (10 features) to calculate hand and finger direction vectors. These all extracted data given to classifier to recognize unknown gesture using training data.

![Fig. 1: Proposed system architecture for ISL recognition.](image-url)
A. Feature Extraction

It is an important step in any kind of object recognition system. Here, we have tried to take advantage of 3D leap motion controller camera. Following are the features considered for recognition purpose.

1) Distances features: Distance between palm center and finger joints for every finger (20 distance features) are considered along with tip finger position distance (4 distance features) for a hand. Figure 2 shows distance features considered for recognition system.

2) Hand orientation features: Finger direction vectors and angles are considered. Figure 3 and 4 shows hand orientation features which are considered for recognition system.

Some of the features which we get directly from API are not suitable for this application. Therefore, we needed to derive more meaningful features from the data which directly obtained from the API.

Table I summarizes the features used as the attributes for different classification algorithms. These features we calculated for each hand. Total 24 distance features and 10 hand orientation features formed fusion vector which consists of 34 features derived for one hand.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Extracted from</th>
<th>Sensory features</th>
<th>Derived features</th>
<th>Total Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Palm</td>
<td>Grab strength</td>
<td>Grab strength</td>
<td>01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Palm Normal</td>
<td>Angle between</td>
<td>01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal vector</td>
<td>palm normal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hand Normal</td>
<td>Roll angle of</td>
<td>03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Direction Vector</td>
<td>palm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pitch angle of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>palm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yaw angle of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>palm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fingers</td>
<td>Bone joint</td>
<td>Distance of bone</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>coordinators</td>
<td>joint from palm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(w.r.t. Palm</td>
<td>center</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>center</td>
<td>coordinates</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C=(X_pmc, Y_pmc,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Z_pmc))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Finger direction</td>
<td>Angle between</td>
<td>05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>vector</td>
<td>finger direction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>and normal vector</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Following are algorithms implemented in proposed system for training and recognition of ISL gesture.

Algorithm 1 describes detail steps for training the gestures using leap motion controller where as Algorithm 2 describes the gesture recognition module.

Algorithm 1: Indian sign language gestures training using leap motion sensor

1. Procedure GestureTraining(Controller C)
2: Procedure GestureTraining(Controller C)
1: Frame f=getFrame(C) // Get most recent frame from leap motion controller
2: Hand h[2]=getHands(f) // Get hands from selected frame f
3: For each h in h[]
   C_h(X_h, Y_h, Z_h) =getPalmCenterPosition(h) //Get Normal vector
   G_h=getGrabStrength(h) //Get Direction vector
   Vector normal = getPalmNormal(h) //Get Direction vector
   Vector direction = getHandDirection(h) //Calculate hand orientation features
   HO_1=angleBetween(normal,direction)
   HO_2=getPalmPitch(direction)
   HO_3=getPalmRoll(normal)
   HO_4=getPalmYaw(direction)
   For each finger fi where i=1 to 5 // for each finger of hand
   For each joint j where j=1 to 4 // for 4 bone joints
   B_ij(X_ij, Y_ij, Z_ij)=getFingerBoneCoordination();
   Distanceij=CalculateDistance(Ch,B ij)
   End for // Get finger direction vector
   Vector Fd =getFingerDirection(f)
   HO_k=angleBetween(normal,Fd)
   k=k+1
   End for
4: TrainingSymbol ts= TraningSymbol(HO, Distance)
5: addSymbolToDatabase(ts)
6: End Procedure

Algorithm 2: Procedure for recognition of ISL using leap Motion sensor

1. Procedure IndianSignLangRecognition (Controller C)
2: Procedure IndianSignLangRecognition (Controller C)
1.: TestSymbol ts=GetTestSymbol(C)
2: For every Single Symbol ss from Database
   compareWith= ss.getHandDistances();
   trainingho= ss.getHandOrientationAngles();
   distScore=CalculateDistanceScore(ts, comapreWith)
   HOScore=calculateHOScore(ts,training ho)
3: Predicatedgesture = CalculateMinScore (distScore, HOScore);
4: End procedure

V. RESULT AND ANALYSIS

Figure 6 shows snapshot of system for Gesture Viewer window where we get derived features data. Figure 8 and 9 shows recognition window for ISL signs.

As per the state of the art and our knowledge, very less work has been done on Indian sign language with leap motion controller. There is no significant statistics are available to compare the proposed work with the state of the art work. So, here we tried to do analysis of sensory features and self-driven features with different classifier. Figure 10 shows that analysis of fusion vector with different classifier and here; SVM is given best result than NN, NMC and Logistic regression with PCA. Figure 7 shows the analysis of different features and fusion vector with nearest neighbourhood classifier and it shows that Hand orientation(HO) features are quite sufficient for hand gesture recognition using leap motion controller. Fusion vector is also equally performing but HO is quite sufficient for recognition purpose.

Fig. 6: Gesture Viewer Window for viewing features data

Fig. 7: Result analysis of different features for ISL
alphabets and number recognition using nearest neighbour classifier

VI. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

The purpose of this paper is to study, test and analysis the performance of the Leap motion controller with sensory features and derived features. As hand gesture recognition with computer vision based approach is a very challenging task for sign language interpretation, efficient sensor camera can play very important role to reduce the task of pre-processing and result improvement. In our earlier work we have tested 2D web camera, Microsoft Kinect Sensors but all the cameras are having their own limitation. So we have tried to work on Leap motion controller as this camera gives more minute features of hand. Though it is giving more sensible and noticeable features of hand, which can help to improve the hand gesture recognition result but the camera is very sensitive for background noise and not easy to give gesture with stability. Microsoft kinect sensor gives depth information of whole body (non-manual gestures) and Leap motion controller gives depth information of hand (manual gestures) so, in our further work we wanted to use combination of Microsoft kinect sensor and leap motion controller for gesture recognition of whole ISL sign language.
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