Stress Analysis, Design Formulation and Optimization of Crankpin of Single Cylinder Four Stroke Petrol Engine
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Abstract— Crankshaft of Internal Combustion Engine is a well known phenomenon. The problem of their premature failure has attracted several investigators for over a century. Forces acting on the crankpin are complex in nature. The piston and the connecting rod transmit gas pressure from the cylinder to the crankpin. The crankpin is like a build in beam with a distributed load along its length that varies with crank position. Crankpin is large volume production component for L.C engine. The static analysis is done using FEA Software ANSYS which resulted in the load spectrum applied to crank pin bearing. This load is applied to the FE model in ANSYS, and boundary conditions are applied according to the engine mounting conditions. The validation model of crankpin is coupled with statically and dynamically result of Von misses stress and shear stress are within the limits and Formulation of single objective function is done for the minimization of diameter of crankpin (dc) using three design variables, 1) diameter of crankshaft, 2) length of crankpin, 3) web width and optimise through genetic algorithm optimization technique to investigate weight and cost reduction opportunities. Therefore research work consists of two major sections: 1) Static analysis 2) Optimization of weight and cost reduction and ultimately increase efficiency of engine.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Crankshaft is a large component with a complex geometry in the engine, which converts the reciprocating displacement of the piston to a rotary motion with a four link mechanism. Design developments have always been an important issue in the crankshaft production industry, in order to manufacture a less expensive component with the minimum weight possible and proper fatigue strength and other functional requirements. These improvements result in lighter and smaller engines with better fuel efficiency and higher power output. [12] The crankshaft consists of the shaft parts which revolve in the main bearings, the crankpins to which the big ends of the connecting rod are connected, the crank arms or webs (also called cheeks) which connect the crankpins and the shaft parts. Forces acting on the crankpin are complex in nature. The piston and the connecting rod transmit gas pressure from the cylinder to the crankpin. It also exerts forces on the crankpin, which is time varying. The crankpin is like a build in beam with a distributed load along its length that varies with crank position. The crankshaft main journals rotate in a set of supporting bearings (“main bearings”) shown in Figure 1.1 causing the offset rod journals to rotate in a circular path around the main journal centers, the diameter of that path is the engine “stroke”; the distance the piston moves up and down in its cylinder. The big ends of the connecting rods contain bearings (“rod bearings”) which ride on the offset rod journals. [1]

The objective of this work is for Stress Analysis and Design Optimization of Crankpin of single cylinder four stroke petrol engine and analyzes the stresses acting on crank pin due to the gas force also Analyze the maximum deformation, maximum stress point and dangerous areas of failure. Optimize the design to reduce the rate of failure and improve the life of crank shaft and engine. Design of crankpin is directly related the performance of engine. [3]

II. DESIGN CALCULATION FOR CRANKPIN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Single Cylinder, Petrol engine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No of cylinders</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bore/Stroke</td>
<td>50 mm/ 55.6 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>109 cc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compression Ratio</td>
<td>9:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. Power</td>
<td>8.4 HP @ 7500 rpm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. Torque</td>
<td>8.63 Nm @ 5500 rpm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table I - Engine Specification
The material is selected the cast steel for crankshaft and crankpin for which allowable bending stress is $\sigma_b = 75 \text{ N/mm}^2$ and allowable shear stress is $\tau = 35 \text{ N/mm}^2$. [10]

The Allowable bending stress is 56 to 75 MPa and shear stress 31 to 42 MPa for Cast steel. [9]

Let, $d_c =$Diameter of crankpin
$lc =$ Length of crankpin
$\sigma_b =$ Allowable bending stress for the crankpin $= 75 \text{ N/mm}^2$
Bending moment at the centre of the crankpin, $Mb = H_1 \times b_2 = 122.65 \text{ kN} \times \text{mm}$
Also Bending Moment
$Mb = \pi/32 * d_c^3 * \sigma_b$

dc $= 30 \text{ mm}$
The length of the crankpin is given by $lc = Fp/dc \times pb$
Where, $pb =$ Permissible bearing pressure $= 5 \text{ N/mm}^2$ (Assuming)

$lc = 38 \text{ mm}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Dimension (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diameter of crankpin</td>
<td>30 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of crankpin</td>
<td>38 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width of crank web</td>
<td>42 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness of crank web</td>
<td>22 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter of shaft</td>
<td>35 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II - Dimension of Crankpin and Crankshaft

II. MODELLING AND ANALYSIS OF CRANKPIN
The GA will generally include the three fundamental genetic operations of selection, crossover and mutation. They usually exhibit a reduced chance of converging to local minima. GAs suffer from the problem of excessive complexity if used on problems that are too large. Genetic algorithms work on populations of individuals rather than single solutions, allowing for parallel processing to be performed when finding solutions to the more large and complex problems.[5]

Every member of a population has a certain fitness value associated with it, which represents the degree of correctness of that particular solution or the quality of solution it represents. The initial population of strings is randomly chosen. Although they do not guarantee convergence to the single best solution to the problem, the processing leverage associated with GAs makes them efficient search techniques. The main advantage of a GA is that it is able to manipulate numerous strings simultaneously by parallel processing, where each string represents a different solution to a given problem. Thus, the possibility of the GA getting caught in local minima is greatly reduced because the whole space of possible solutions can be simultaneously searched.[6]

V. FORMULATION

Problem formulation is normally the most difficult part of the process. It is the selection of design variables, constraints, objective function(s), and models of the discipline/design. Good problem formulation is the key to success of an optimization study.

A. Objective Function

The objective function is to minimize the diameter of crankpin \( d_c \) and ultimately reduce the weight of crankshaft under the effect of static load and so we can reduce the cost.

\[
F(x) = d_c = \frac{819100}{60d_s} \left(\frac{w}{l_c}\right)^{1/3} - 10.58
\]

This is the required objective function in three variables when crankshaft subjected to maximum bending moment.

B. Formulation of Constraints

According to summary of manual design result constraints can be enlisted as follows [2]

\[
\begin{align*}
&24 \leq d_s \leq 35 \\
&20 \leq l_c \leq 38 \\
&30 \leq w \leq 42
\end{align*}
\]

Where,

\( d_s \) = Diameter of Crankshaft

\( d_c \) = Diameter of crankpin

\( l_c \) = length of crankpin

\( w \) = Width of crank web
C. Optimization problem in Standard format

The above optimization problem in standard format can be stated as below [7]

The design vector \( x = \{ ds, lc, w \} \) which minimizes

\[
F(x) = dc = \frac{819100}{60ds} (w lc)^{1/3} - 10.58
\]

Subjected to constraints,

\[
\begin{align*}
g1(x) &= 24 - ds \leq 0 \\
g2(x) &= ds - 35 \leq 0 \\
g3(x) &= 20 - lc \leq 0 \\
g4(x) &= lc - 38 \leq 0 \\
g5(x) &= 30 - w \leq 0 \\
g6(x) &= w - 42 \leq 0
\end{align*}
\]

Where,

ds=Diameter of Crankshaft
dc = Diameter of Crankpin
lc = length of Crankpin
w = Width of crank web

VI. RESULTS

With the use of MATLAB genetic algorithm tool the fitness function \( f(x) \) for the genetic algorithm is calculated with the inequality constraints and the bound limit for the three variables 1) Diameter of the crank shaft, \( ds \) 2) Length of the crank pin, \( lc \) 3) width of crankshaft, \( w \).

A. Optimum Design Results using GA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SR</th>
<th>Width of Web, (mm)</th>
<th>Length of Crankpin (mm)</th>
<th>Diameter of Crankshaft (mm)</th>
<th>Diameter of Crankpin (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>41.9447</td>
<td>37.6012</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>29.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>31.46245</td>
<td>34.266</td>
<td>34.001</td>
<td>29.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>41.999</td>
<td>28.991</td>
<td>33.97</td>
<td>28.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>28.9046</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>28.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>40.0042</td>
<td>37.4782</td>
<td>33.97</td>
<td>29.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>40.7048</td>
<td>33.2836</td>
<td>32.071</td>
<td>28.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>37.5736</td>
<td>36.6587</td>
<td>32.004</td>
<td>28.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>36.413</td>
<td>31.0817</td>
<td>32.899</td>
<td>29.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>33.4701</td>
<td>36.0732</td>
<td>30.57</td>
<td>28.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>41.9854</td>
<td>33.1372</td>
<td>31.015</td>
<td>28.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table IV - Optimum Design Results using Genetic Algorithm

Figure 6.1 shows the genetic algorithm tool from which the value of the three variables are found with the three points given at the bottom of the toolbox and the fitness function value is found in the centre of the box. Figure 4.2 shows the sample result of GA tool.

Figure 6.2 indicates that the diameter of the crankpin decreases as the width of web decreases and as length of crankpin decreases it will increases up to certain value but after that it will decreases and at the end of limit it will decrease but as the both value of width of web as well as length of crankpin decreases diameter of crankshaft decreases which is seen in figure as the hill portion. The minimum value of diameter of crankpin 28.4 mm when the width of web 38.47 mm and length of the crankpin 36.07 mm.

B. Summary of Manual Design Results

Diameter of the Crank Pin = 30 mm
Length of the Crank Pin = 38 mm
Diameter of the shaft = 42 mm
Web Thickness (Both Left and Right Hand) = 22 mm
Web Width (Both Left and Right Hand) = 35 mm
Figure 6.3 shows that the diameter of the crankpin decreases as the width of web decreases and as diameter of crankshaft decreases it will generate wave form and at the end of limit it will increase but as the both value of diameter of crankshaft and web width decreases and diameter of the crankpin is decreases initially and so that it minimize the value of diameter of the crankpin 28.4 mm with diameter of crankshaft 30.57 mm and web width 38.47 which is seen in figure as the hill portion.

Figure 6.4 indicates that the diameter of the crankpin decreases as the length of crankpin decreases and as diameter of crankshaft decreases it will increases up to certain value but after that it will decreases and at the end of limit it will decrease but as the both value of length of crankpin as well as diameter of crankshaft decreases diameter of crankpin decreases which is seen in figure as the hill portion. The minimum value of diameter of crankpin 28.4 mm when the length of crankpin 36.07 mm and diameter of crankshaft 30.57 mm.

For validation of the result obtain by the genetic algorithm the other design optimization method is required. Here exhaustive search method validate the result of genetic algorithm and so that from exhaustive search method the results obtain for three variable of the objective function are 1) diameter of crankshaft 31.60 mm, 2) length of crankpin 37.20 mm 3) web width 40.50 mm and with the help of these variable the minimized the diameter of crankpin 29.45 mm. With the help of this it can be seen that the genetic algorithm give very close solution to exhaustive search method and manually design method.

### Validation of Result

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input Variable</th>
<th>Manually Design</th>
<th>Genetic Algorithm</th>
<th>Exhaustive Search Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diameter of crankshaft (mm)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>30.57</td>
<td>The step of 0.1 i.e. 31.1-31.2 leads to ( ds = 31.60 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web width (mm)</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>38.47</td>
<td>The step of 0.20 i.e. 39.20-39.4 leads to ( w = 40.50 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of crankpin (mm)</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>36.07</td>
<td>The step of 0.25 i.e. 36.25-36.50 leads to ( lc = 37.20 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Output
VII. CONCLUSIONS

1. Static analysis provides better results than manual calculation. Accurate stresses are input to optimization of the crankpin. FEA Results Conformal matches with the theoretical calculation so we can say that FEA is a good tool to reduce time consuming theoretical work. The maximum deformation appears at the center of crankpin neck surface. The maximum stress appears at the fillets between the crankshaft journal and crank cheeks and near the central point journal. The edge of main journal is high stress area. The Value of Von-Misses Stresses that comes out from the analysis is far less than material yield stress so our design is safe and we should go for optimization to reduce the material and cost.

2. The concept design phases aims to find out optimization scheme and confirms structure size. Using different design phases, choosing genetic algorithm will improve analysis efficiency meanwhile save research and development time.

3. A genetic algorithm has been used for the optimum design of crankpin. Some examples of optimum design that minimize the diameter of crankpin under constraints are presented. The numerical results are given in graphical forms of diameter of Crankshaft, length of crankpin, web width. The optimized results are compared with those of exhaustive search method. All the results have the same tendency. Therefore it has a strong possibility for being used for other optimization problems.

A. Formulation

1. Formulation of single objective function is done for the minimization of diameter of crankpin (dc) using three design variables, 1) diameter of crankshaft, 2) length of crankpin, 3) web width.

B. Genetic Algorithm

1. The genetic algorithm only uses the function value and doesn’t need derivatives calculated analytically or numerically. 2. The contour plot drawn with the data formed by genetic algorithm, as the value of diameter of crankshaft, length of crankpin and web width decreases the diameter of crankpin.

3. The surface plots give the relationship of diameter of crank shaft to the three parameter and it concludes that the diameter of crankpin is proportional to 1) diameter of crankshaft, 2) length of crankpin, 3) web width.
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| Diameter of crankpin (mm) | 30 | 28.04 | 29.45 |

Table V - Validation of Results
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