Static Load Analysis Of Tata Ace Ex Chassis And Stress Optimisation Using Reinforcement Technique

Sandip Godse^[1], Prof. D.A.Patel^[2]

[1]M. E 4th Semester year Student, Department of ME, S.P.C.E, Visnagar, Gujarat, INDIA
[2] Associate Professor & Head, Mech. Dept, SPCE, Visnagar, Gujarat, INDIA

ABSTRACT-Chassis is a major component in a vehicle system. This work involved static analysis to determine key characteristics of a chassis. The static characteristics include identifying location of high stress area. Mathematical calculations were carried out to validate the static analysis. This paper presents the static load analysis of the chassis of TATA ace ex using ANSYS workbench and stress optimization using reinforcement technique of optimization. This has been carried out with limited modifications by adding stiffeners. The necessary design changes required to enhance the load carrying capacity of the vehicle has been recommended successfully.

I. INTRODUCTION

Chassis usually denotes the basic frame that decides the overall shape of the vehicle. The chassis is the framework that is everything attached to it in a vehicle. chassis of TATA ace ex is of ladder frame type which has two side members and five transverse members called cross members of box cross section. The chassis has been modeled in PRO-E software using actual dimensions. FEM analysis was done using ANSYS 14.5 workbench.

II. BASIC CALCULATION

Model- TATA ace ex Length of vehicle = 4340 mmWidth of vehicle = 1565 mmHeight of vehicle = 1858 mmWheelbase = 2380 mmTrack width = 1320 mmMaterial of chassis = structural steel Young's modulus = 2 e+5Poisson's ratio = 0.3Length of chassis = 4201 mmWidth of chassis = 808 mmDimensions of side bar = 100mm x 36mm x 5 mm Dimensions of cross bar = 90 mm x 90 mmGross vehicle weight (G.V.W) = 2180 kgKer weight = 1180 kgThe above load (G.V.W) is applied in the form of pressure.

Hence the total area of application of load as calculated from chassis dimensions = 1182600 mm^2 . Total load to be applied = $2150 \times 9.81 = 21091.5 \text{ N}$ Pressure to be applied = 21091.5/1182600 = 0.017834 MPa.

III. MODELING OF CHASSIS

For carrying out the FE analysis of the frame the CAD model is prepared in PRO-E and then the analysis is done in ANSYS workbench. CAD model is prepared as below:-





Figure 2 Meshed Model of chassis

International Journal of Engineering Trends and Technology (IJETT) - Volume4 Issue7- July 2013

Meshing is done using the auto mesh mode of ANSYS workbench. The mesh model has 8112 elements and 20998 nodes.

V. LOAD APPLICATIONS AND BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

Load is applied in the form of pressure of magnitude 0.017834 MPa. There are two boundary conditions which includes fixing the front and the rear axle.



Figure 3 Fixed support and pressure application

VI. RESULTS WITHOUT OPTIMISATION

Load analysis of original chassis gives maximum stress intensity of magnitude 37.09 MPa in close proximity of rear axle at the joint of side member and cross member. The von misses stress magnitude is 37.04MPa. Also the magnitude of total deformation is 1.08 mm.



Figure 4 Stress intensity



Figure 5 Von Misses stress



Figure 6 Total deformation

VII. OPTIMISATION OF CHASSIS

Different practices are available for optimization by chassis modification. Here suitable changes are made in the design using reinforcement methods and the analysis is done to observe the reduction in the stress levels. Reinforcement of 5 mm thickness and 130 mm length is provided on the side members where the stress is maximum.

A) Optimization using reinforcement technique Reinforcement is the practice of providing a cover plates on external on the side members at the highly stressed



Figure 7 Chassis with reinforcement

B) Results after optimization using reinforcement technique.

International Journal of Engineering Trends and Technology (IJETT) - Volume4 Issue7- July 2013

In this case, stress intensity and von misses stress are reduced to 22.97 MPa and 28.74 MPa respectively. Total deformation is reduced to 0.64 mm



Figure 8 Stress Intensity after reinforcement



Figure 10 Von Misses stress after reinforcement



Figure 11 Total deformations after reinforcement TABLE 1 COMPARISON OF RESULTS

S		PRESS	STRESS	VON-	TOTAL
r.		URE	INTENS	MISE	DEFORMA
		APPLIE	ITY	S	TION
	TYPE	D IN	IN MPa	STRE	IN mm
		MPa		SS	
				IN	
				MPa	
1	Original	0.017	27.00	27.04	1.09
	chassis	0.017	37.09	57.04	1.08
2	Chassis				
	with	0.017	22.07	28 74	0.64
	reinforce	0.017	22.91	20.74	0.04
	ment				

Hence the method of reinforcement is found to be most effective.

VIII. CONCLUSION

The existing chassis was analyzed by the finite element analysis, the stress levels are found to be 37.04 N/mm². After modifications, the chassis with suitable reinforcement, increase in thickness, addition of stiffeners, the finite element analysis was carried out, and the stress levels of chassis are found as 22.97 N/mm² which demonstrates that the modified chassis is capable to carry the loads beyond the previous payload.

REFERENCES

[1] Cicek Karaoglu, N. Sefa Kuralay "Stress analysis of a truck chassis with riveted joints" Finite Elements in Analysis and Design 38 (2002) 1115–1130, Department of Mechanical Engineering, DEU Faculty of Engineering, 35100 Bornova, Izmir, Turkey.

[2] Mohd Azizi Muhammad Nora,b, Helmi Rashida, Wan Mohd Faizul Wan Mahyuddinb,Mohd Azuan Mohd Azlanc, Jamaluddin Mahmuda, "*Stress Analysis of a Low Loader Chassis*" International Symposium on Robotics and Intelligent Sensors 2012, a Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Shah Alam 40450, Malaysia.

[3] O Kurdi, R Abd- Rahman, M N Tamin, "Stress Analysis Of Heavy Duty Truck Chassis Using Finite Element Method" Faculty of Mechanical Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia 81310 UTM Skudai, Johor.

[4] Dr.R.Rajappan, M.Vivekanandhan," *Static and Modal Analysis of Chassis by Using Fea*" The International Journal Of Engineering And Science (Ijes) Volume 2, ssue 2,Page 63-73 (2013)

[5] Sairam Kotari, V.Gopinath, "Static and dynamic analysis on tatra chassis" International Journal of Modern Engineering Research (IJMER), Vol.2, Issue.1, pp-086-094